Real-world Evaluation of a Novel Technology-enabled Capnometry-assisted Breathing Therapy for Panic Disorder
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Abstract

Introduction: Anxiety disorders which include generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder (PD), post-traumatic stress disorder, and obsessive-compulsive disorder are common psychiatric conditions associated with significant social, occupational, physical, and economic costs. Interventions that target the respiratory dysregulation associated with anxiety disorders have demonstrated considerable therapeutic benefit. However, no such intervention has been evaluated in PD patients receiving care in an employer-sponsored health center.

Objective: To evaluate the use of a novel, capnometry-assisted breathing therapy on clinical outcomes, engagement, and satisfaction for patients with PD receiving care in an employer-sponsored health center.

Methods: Prospective analysis of participants using the program between 1/1/2018 and 06/30/2020.

Results: Twenty-two participants enrolled. Their average weekly usage remained high throughout the 4-week treatment protocol of 17 minutes twice a day for 28 days (11.6 sessions (SD 3.1) in week one and 10.4 sessions (SD 4.0) in week four). Their average Panic Disorder Severity Scale score decreased from 13.3 (SD ± 3.6) to 5.6 (SD ± 1.8), indicating that panic severity decreased from “moderately ill” to “slightly ill.” Use of the program was associated with clinically and statistically significant improvements in respiratory markers: average respiratory rate decreased from 14.9 (SD 3.6) to 11.9 (SD 4.7) breaths per minute (p<0.001) and end-tidal pCO2 increased from 37.2 mmHg (SD 4.2) to 39.1 mmHg (SD 3.6) (p<0.001). Engagement was associated with decline in utilizations of behavioral health services at employer-sponsored primary care center clinic after the intervention.

Conclusion: The clinical improvements observed in this pilot suggest that capnometry-assisted breathing therapy is a valuable tool for patients suffering from panic-associated symptoms.

Background / Introduction

Anxiety disorders which include generalized anxiety disorder, PD, PTSD, and obsessive-compulsive disorder are common psychiatric conditions associated with significant social, occupational, physical, and economic costs. Approximately 27 million US adults experience panic attacks annually with over 6.7 million suffering from PD and 8 million from PTSD. Anxiety disorders are associated with poor workplace productivity and short and long term disabilities accounting for approximately $45 billion in annual health care spending. While these conditions are commonly treated with pharmacotherapy and/or psychotherapy, not all patients respond or achieve full recovery with these modalities.
Growing evidence suggests that respiratory dysregulation is a central feature of PD. Klein and colleagues’ ‘suffocation false alarm’ hypotheses regarding carbon dioxide hypersensitivity set the stage for the examination of the respiratory irregularities associated with panic disorder and related conditions. In the case of panic disorder, chronic hyperventilation, lower end tidal CO₂ levels, respiratory rate and tidal volume irregularities, sighing, and breath-holding are characteristic features. The literature on respiratory dysregulation in PTSD is less extensive. However, a comparative analysis of PD and PTSD found that subjects with PTSD also showed abnormal breathing patterns (high sigh rate, more abdominal breathing, and only slightly less hypocapnia than the PD group). Additional evidence linking underlying respiratory pathophysiology of PD and PTSD includes the bidirectional relationship between the two disorders and reactivity to CO₂ challenge testing. Again, the literature for CO₂ challenge is more substantial for PD, but an emerging body of evidence for PTSD points to CO₂ reactivity as a risk factor for development of PTSD in military subjects and is characteristic in patients with PTSD. In the trial of PTSD by Kellner and colleagues, they found that patients with PTSD were not reactive to placebo gas exposure whereas some subjects experienced post-traumatic flashbacks in addition to panic symptoms when exposed to a single inhalation of 35% CO₂.

Interventions that specifically target these respiratory pathways have demonstrated considerable therapeutic benefit among these patient populations. Anxiety disorders can trigger the fight-or-flight response, which stimulates the sympathetic nervous system to increase heart rate and blood pressure and leads to over-breathing among other stress reactions. Breathing exercises can reduce the body's reaction to stress and anxiety by mediating this relationship with the sympathetic nervous system. Specifically, breathing exercises are associated with decreased heart rate, respiratory rate (RR), and blood pressure. Recognition is growing that treatments that effectively treat panic-related conditions (psychopharmacological as well as psychotherapeutic) also reduce CO₂ sensitivity. Berenz and colleagues suggest treatment implications as follows: "Given the association between PTSD and subsequent PD, particularly among men, clinicians may consider supplementing PTSD treatment with panic specific interventions.

A novel technology called Freespira was developed to train participants to reduce their RR and increase their exhaled end-tidal pCO₂ levels through structured breathing exercises. This technology has not been previously evaluated in an employer-sponsored setting. However, given its demonstrated effectiveness in managing PD, PTSD, among other common anxiety-associated disorders, this intervention holds promise for reducing the morbidity and associated cost among employee populations. The purpose of this pilot was to evaluate Freespira among a population of adult employees with PD receiving care in an employer-sponsored health center. Specifically, we sought to determine whether completing a 28-day intervention with Freespira would: 1) Reduce symptom severity as measured by condition-specific scales (i.e., Panic Disorder Severity Scale (PDSS) for panic disorder, Post Traumatic Stress Disorder checklist (PCL 5) for PTSD, Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) for depression, and Generalized Anxiety Disorder questionnaire (GAD-7) for generalized anxiety disorder; 2) Improve respiratory parameters as measured by end-tidal pCO₂ and RR; and 3) Provide a positive experience as measured by engagement rates.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Eligible participants were adult Comcast employees with PD receiving care in an employer-sponsored Crossover health center located in Midtown, New York City between 1/1/2018 and 6/30/2020. Three training seminars were conducted with primary care and behavioral health providers to provide education about the intervention, inclusion criteria, and the referral process. These seminars were attended by more than 50 providers and clinic staff who made 45 referrals. Patients who were referred to Freespira and expressed interest in the program were sent an assessment packet via secure email and given the Freespira enrollment phone number. If a patient did not complete the assessment packet or call Freespira, there was no additional follow up. Participants were considered ineligible for inclusion if they were pregnant or diagnosed with obesity hyperventilation syndrome. Participants did not incur incremental costs or receive any incentives for study participation.

Intervention

Freespira is a patented, FDA-cleared digital therapeutic solution for the treatment of PD, PTSD, agoraphobia, and other disorders where panic attacks are common. The intervention trains patients, through physiological feedback, to increase their end-tidal pCO₂ by reducing their RR and respiratory volume. The capnometry-assisted breathing treatment is delivered via a hand-held respiratory sensor, nasal sampling cannula, and software that guides the user through a structured training session, and a dedicated coach providing weekly support. The sensor measures end-tidal pCO₂ and RR. Data from this sensor are transmitted via Bluetooth to a tablet preloaded with the Freespira app. The app provides real-time feedback to the user based on their RR and end-tidal pCO₂ in accordance with a structured protocol to regulate their breathing. The
Each therapy session consists of three parts: 1) A baseline period (baseline stage), during which participants sit quietly with their eyes closed for two minutes. 2) A 10-minute paced breathing period (pacing stage) during which participants are instructed to breathe in sync with a series of rising and falling audio tones while viewing their exhaled end-tidal pCO2 level. The tones were set for 13, 11, or 9 breaths per minute, representing each progressive week of the program. By reducing RR and inspired volume, participants learn to raise their exhaled pCO2 into the target zone (around 40 mm Hg) while engaging in paced breathing. And 3) a 5-minute breathing period without pacing tones during which participants are asked to maintain their previously paced RR and end-tidal pCO2 level using the feedback device only, without pacing tones (transition stage). The mobile app showed participants their current end-tidal pCO2 level, target baseline end-tidal pCO2 level (37–40 mm Hg), current RR, and target RR (12-16). The repetitive at-home practice also teaches participants to be more aware of episodes of dysfunctional breathing and provides self-management skills to improve breathing.

Session records are uploaded to a secure server following each session and are reviewed by a clinical-specialist who meets weekly (via video) with the participant to review progress and provide tips and encouragement. If participation decreased or stopped, the patient care specialist contacted the participant to discuss any challenges and offer support or encouragement to schedule an appointment with a behavioral health provider in their employer-sponsored health center as appropriate. If a participant was in crisis (e.g., endorsed suicidal symptoms), the patient care specialist referred the participant to a nearby emergency room for clearance before having the participant continue the intervention. At the end of the intervention, participants returned the device to Palo Alto Health Sciences, Inc (the developer of Freespira).

**Data Collection**

Two types of clinical outcomes were measured at baseline and at the end of the intervention: 1) Those related to behavioral health symptoms and 2) those related to respiratory measures. The behavioral health outcome measures were assessed through changes in three clinician-scored, validated metrics. The Panic Disorder Severity Scale (PDSS) for panic disorder severity22 (a 40% or greater reduction in PDSS score was considered clinically significant and remission was defined as a score of ≤5 on the PDSS23,24), the PTSD Checklist survey (PCL 5) for PTSD17 (a change of 10 or more points was considered clinically significant25,26), Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ 9)27 for depression, and Generalized Anxiety Disorder questionnaire (GAD 7)28 for generalized anxiety disorder.

The change in average RR and end-tidal pCO2 during the baseline stage were the key respiratory outcomes of interest as they reflect the ability of the participant to control their breathing without an intervention. The normal RR for an adult at rest ranges from 12 to 16 breaths per minute29. The target range end-tidal pCO2 was 37 to 40 mm Hg. Notably, increases in end-tidal pCO2 levels have been correlated with decreased PDSS severity30.

We sought to evaluate the extent to which participants principally received care through Crossover or were utilizing community-based healthcare services during the pilot period. Crossover defines patients as being highly engaged more than 75% of their health services were delivered through a Crossover provider. Health services utilization and medication usage were abstracted from the Crossover health record for each participant.

Patient satisfaction was measured on a 5-point Likert Scale administered via email at the end of the treatment asking "Did the Freespira treatment help you?" and a Net Promoter Score (NPS) question “How likely are you to recommend this treatment to a friend or family member who suffers from panic attack?”

Baseline data were collected before starting the Freespira intervention and upon completion of the 28-day program. Given that the intervention has been evaluated for safety and efficacy in other settings, and all data used in this analysis are routinely collected on all patients cared for by clinicians in the employer-sponsored clinic and among users of the Freespira device, this study was considered exempt from IRB approval.

**Statistical Methods**

We evaluated the average of each behavioral health scale at the start and end of the intervention. We used linear regression to evaluate the rate of change of RR and exhaled CO2 as a function of the number of training sessions per participant. We expected and tested for a decrease in RR and increase in exhaled end-tidal CO2. Homoscedasticity and mean average of residuals were checked to ensure non-violation of regression assumptions. All statistical analyses were conducted with Python 3.8, pandasv1.05, and Statsmodels v0.12.0.

**Results**

**Participant Characteristics**

Table 1 presents demographic and clinical characteristics of the 22 participants who enrolled in the program and completed at least one breathing session. Participants’ average age was 35 years (SD 6.8). They
were mostly female (73%). Five participants had a principal diagnosis of PD, two had PTSD, and 19 had panic symptoms including tachycardia, palpitations, generalized hyperhidrosis, or emotional lability (note: participants could have both PTSD and some panic symptoms). Additionally, 19 participants (86%) were diagnosed with a comorbid behavioral health condition such as depression or anxiety. Seven participants were prescribed psychoactive medications during the pilot including common antidepressants and anxiolytics.

**Utilization of Services in the Employer-sponsored Health Center**

Sixteen (73%) participants were considered highly engaged members of their employer-sponsored health center with no community utilization for behavioral health issues during the evaluation period. This was significantly higher than the rate of engagement for the overall employer population (14%). The number of behavioral health visits at the employer-sponsored clinic for the 22 participants during the 30 days before starting the Freespira intervention was 52. This increased to 57 visits during the intervention and fell to 44 visits during the 30 days after the intervention.

**Engagement in the Intervention**

The Freespira protocol recommends two 17-minute sessions per day for 28 days (56 total breathing sessions). On an average, pilot participants completed 44.2 (SD 26.0) breathing sessions over the course of four weeks (an 83% adherence rate). During the first week, on average, participants completed 267 sessions with an average weekly usage of 11.6 sessions (SD 3.1). During the final week of the pilot, participants had only a slightly lower engagement rate with an average of 10.4 (SD 4.0) at week four.

**Clinical Outcomes**

**Behavioral Health Outcomes**

Among the 22 participants, 18 provided both their initial and final PDSS assessments. Their initial PDSS score decreased on average from 13.3 (SD ± 3.6) to 5.6 (SD ± 1.8), an average decrease of 7.2. Panic severity decreased from “moderately ill” to “slightly ill.” Further, 12 out of 18 participants (67%) had a clinically significant (40% or greater) decrease in their PDSS score.

Two participants with a diagnosis of PTSD provided both their initial and final PCL 5 scores. Their initial PCL 5 score decreased from 41.0 (SD ± 13.1) to 27.5 (SD ± 6.4), an average decrease of 13.5.

Eleven participants provided both initial and final PHQ 9 scores for depression. Their initial score decreased from 11.1 (SD ± 6.3) to 9.1 (SD ± 7.1) progressing from “moderate depression” to “mild depression.” The four individuals who had a baseline PHQ 9 ≥ 10 (moderately severe) had an average initial PHQ9 score of 15.8 (SD ± 3.4) that declined to 15.0 (SD ± 4.2) indicating no meaningful change in comorbidity.

Eleven participants provided both initial and final GAD 7 scores for anxiety. Their average initial score decreased from 8.6 (SD ± 6.2) to 7.5 (SD ± 6.6) at the end of the intervention indicating ‘moderate anxiety’. Seven individuals who had a baseline GAD 7 > 10 had an average initial score that declined from 15.1 (SD ± 2.9) to 12.3 (SD ± 7.0). This improvement was not statistically significant.

**Respiratory outcomes**

Among the 22 participants, their baseline RR decreased from 14.9 (SD 3.6) to 11.9 (SD 4.7) breaths per minute (Table 2). The regression results (Figure 1 and Table 3a) show that this decline in RR was significantly associated with the number of sessions a participant completed (p<0.001).

Figure 2 shows the significant improvement in end-tidal pCO2 over the course of the intervention. The average end-tidal pCO2 in the baseline stage increased from 37.2mmHg (SD 4.2) at week one to 39.1mmHg (SD 3.6) at week four. The regression analysis showed (Table 3b) that the end-tidal pCO2 increase was significantly associated with the number of sessions completed (p<0.001).
average, for every completed session, end-tidal pCO\(_2\) in the baseline phase increased by approximately 0.05 mmHg (p < 0.001). We observed that end-tidal pCO\(_2\) increased in parallel during paced and transition stages suggesting that participants were able to maintain control over their breathing pattern without guiding tones.

**Participant Satisfaction**

The response rate on the patient satisfaction survey and NPS was low (only 7 (27%) participants). Six participants reported that the intervention helped them or significantly helped them and that they would recommend it to a friend or family member with panic attacks.

**Discussion**

Overall, the use of Freespira was associated with clinically and statistically significant improvements in key clinical outcomes among a population of employees receiving care for PD in an employer-sponsored health center. This real-world evaluation of the capnometry-based intervention had four key findings.

First, 82\% of participants experienced significant improvements in PD severity and increased end-tidal pCO\(_2\) levels. Improvements in end-tidal pCO\(_2\) levels and RR were significantly associated with the number of sessions a participant completed. Tolin et al. demonstrated durable benefits of Freespira at 12 months of follow up after a 4-week intervention identical to the intervention used in this pilot.\(^{18}\) Thus, although we did not evaluate clinical outcomes beyond four weeks, it is likely that benefits continued after treatment discontinuation. Although the demographics of the enrolled participants skewed female (73\%), we believe the results are generalizable to employee populations given that women are more than twice as likely to suffer from PD than men.\(^{31,32}\) Women have been reported to be more likely to seek psychotherapy than men,\(^{33,34}\) so, a technology like Freespira might be a novel means of getting care to men with PD who are reluctant to engage in psychotherapy.
Second, 86% of participants were diagnosed with a comorbid behavioral health condition such as depression or anxiety, although depression was mild in all but four participants. The relationship between PD and generalized anxiety and depression has been previously documented. With 98% of PD patients developing at least one comorbid psychiatric disorder. Given the recent negative impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of adults in the US, future studies of Freespira should evaluate populations with more significant comorbid depression and anxiety to characterize populations most likely to benefit from either Freespira alone or delivered in combination with either medication or psychotherapy. Moreover, given the hesitance of many patients to seek care in person due to concerns over COVID-19 transmission, digital mental health solutions can be a valuable tool for getting care to people outside of the clinical setting and helping prioritize in-person or video-based care to higher acuity populations.

Third, participants were highly engaged with the Freespira program over the course of the 28-day intervention with little drop off even in the final week of the therapy. Although relatively few participants provided satisfaction or NPS data, the high-level of engagement suggests that the program was tolerable to patients with PD. These data are consistent with prior findings: A previously published trial of the Freespira intervention recorded high satisfaction rates (84%) and adherence (88%) in a panic disorder population, while data available from the Freespira FDA clearance records for PTSD showed satisfaction rates of 84% and adherence rates of 77%. The positive adherence and satisfaction rates along with a minimal side effect profile reported by the FDA show promise in expanding treatment options for PTSD, whose most effective psychotherapies, namely trauma-focus methods, differentially show high dropout rates.

Fourth, 73% participants were considered highly engaged members of their employer-sponsored health center with no community utilization for behavioral health issues during the evaluation period. The decline in behavioral health visits after the intervention is a promising finding that suggests that controlling panic and related symptoms improved overall need for health services and may result in decreased associated health related spending. This warrants a larger trial specifically designed to evaluate key economic outcomes associated with Freespira. A recent study of a Highmark population using Freespira showed similar results and was associated with reduced medication cost and fewer specialist and emergency department visits. Engaging in evidence-based digital health intervention such as Freespira may improve access to care and reduce provider burden at employer-sponsored care centers. The demand for behavioral health care is increasing and access to in-person behavioral health providers is often delayed with an average wait time of 25 days for a first visit in the community. Moreover, potential benefits of direct access to an evidence-based digital health solution include greater anonymity and confidentiality — lowering concerns regarding any stigma associated with PD. Use of the Freespira program could provide some care for individuals for whom access to in-person services may be limited or delayed. Notably, given that most of the participants in this pilot were also receiving behavioral health care at Crossover, we were not able to compare the clinical outcomes of participants receiving just Freespira versus Freespira plus in-person psychotherapy. This should be a priority for future evaluations.

Regarding possible mechanisms of action associated with clinical benefit of this intervention, Freespira targets respiratory rate and CO2 levels in conditions known to be carbon dioxide sensitive. As in prior studies, the current study population showed significant normalization of both respiratory rate and end tidal CO2 levels across the course of the trial. Given the complexity of the pathophysiology of PD, it is unlikely that a unitary mechanism of action is responsible for the treatment benefits, but Freespira is unique among current treatment options in directly addressing carbon dioxide physiology. It is noteworthy that a randomized wait-list control trial of a paced breathing intervention that did not include feedback of exhaled CO2 showed no benefit in reducing panic, anxiety, or hyperventilation symptoms. Additional research on potential mechanisms of action for this intervention support conclusions that normalization of CO2 levels is causally related to symptom improvement. Development of perceived control was also recognized as an additional and important mechanism of change inherent in both cognitive and respiratory interventions. In addition to addressing respiratory physiology, the Freespira intervention is aimed at developing and sustaining self-management skills that can be utilized by patients after the conclusion of the intervention.

This study had two important limitations. The relatively small sample size (especially of PTSD patients) limited our ability to evaluate whether the use of Freespira could meaningfully serve as a means of treating employees remotely, without additional in-person care or to understand if clinical improvements in panic symptoms were associated with economic and other key outcomes. PD and PTSD are relatively less common among employee patients than anxiety and adjustment disorder. Given prior published evidence for the effectiveness of Freespira to improve many symptoms of anxiety, we recommend that the inclusion criteria for future evaluations be expanded to include these patients.

Additionally, the pilot did not restrict participants from seeking additional psychotherapy or using medication...
therapy for their mental health while using the Freespira program. We cannot dismiss the possibility of either medications or psychotherapy contributing to clinical improvement. An active control intervention would be required to rule out potential effects of therapy factors.

Conclusions

The clinical improvements observed in this study suggest that Freespira is a valuable tool for employees suffering from panic associated symptoms. For it to have greater impact both clinically and economically, it should be evaluated on much larger employee and general populations not also receiving in-person care.
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