

Pharmacogenetics and Psychiatric Care: A Review and Commentary

Merlin G. Butler*

*Departments of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences and Pediatrics, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA

Article Info

Article Notes

Received: February 03, 2018

Accepted: April 16, 2018

*Correspondence:

Dr. Merlin G. Butler MD, PhD, Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, University of Kansas Medical Center 3901 Rainbow Blvd, MS 4015, Kansas City, Kansas 66160, USA; Telephone: 913-588-1800; Fax: 913-588-1305; E-mail address: mbutler4@kumc.edu;

© 2018 Butler MG. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Introduction

Personalized or precision medicine is emerging in the treatment of human diseases and management based on each individual's genetic pattern and response to drugs categorized into two areas: 1) pharmacogenetics and 2) pharmacogenomics¹⁻⁵. Pharmacogenetics is the study of DNA structural variations and impact on drug metabolism, efficacy and tolerability. DNA remains stable and does not change with time or age. Pharmacogenetics is most often based on the cytochrome P450 enzyme system, primarily found in the liver and involves genes coding for the production of cytochrome P450 enzymes⁶⁻⁹. The response to medications depends on each individual's ability to metabolize drugs with most drugs broken down by this enzyme system dependent on the genetic makeup of each person. Pharmacogenomics is the study of DNA and RNA characteristics impacting gene function but can change or be influenced by factors (e.g., environment)¹⁰⁻¹². Hence, pharmacogenetics deals with single genes and their structure while pharmacogenomics relates to gene function influenced by the environment, both can play a role in human disease including drug metabolism. The Federal Drug Administration (FDA) and National Institutes of Health (NIH) have identified pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics as important key tools in development and testing of new drugs and their impact in treating individuals with human disease^{10,13,14}.

Inter-individual variability in drug response is now recognized as a major clinical problem in westernized societies where polymedication is common. Relevant gene polymorphisms with different racial distributions are identified sources of variability in drug responses by the modulation of drug-metabolizing cytochrome P450 enzymes discussed in this report. The conceptualization of drug interaction and potential relationship to an individual's ability to break down drugs or metabolism influenced by genetics was raised by Motulsky in 1957^{15,16} and later supported by genetic-based pharmacokinetics research. For several years, it was known that certain anesthetic agents and doses would be altered depending on the individual's response, signs and symptoms during surgical procedures when anesthesia was administered indicating variable responses from person to person possibly related to differences in their genetic patterns.

Background and Description of Cytochrome P450 Enzyme System

Cytochrome P450 hepatic enzymes, primarily found in the

Table 1. Cytochrome P450 (CYP) Enzymes and Drugs/Substrate Interaction.

Drug or Substrate	CYP 1A2	CYP 3A4/5	CYP 2B6	CYP 2C9	CYP 2C19	CYP 2D6	Drug or Substrate	CYP 1A2	CYP 3A4/5	CYP 2B6	CYP 2C9	CYP 2C19	CYP 2D6
alprazolam		M					modafinil		M				
amitriptyline	m	m!		m	M	M!	montelukast		M		M		
aripiprazole		M				M	naproxen	m			M		
atorvastatin		M					olanzapine	M					m
bupropion			M!				omeprazole		m			M	
caffeine	M	m					ondansetron	M	M				m
carbamazepine	m	M!		m			oxycodone		M				m!
celecoxib		m		M			paroxetine						M
citalopram		M			M	m	phenytoin				M	m	
clomipramine	M	M!			M!	m!	prednisolone		M				
clonazepam		M					propofol			M	m		
clozapine	M	M			m	m	propranolol	m				m	M
codeine		M				m!	quinine		M				
cortisol		M					ranitidine	m				m	m
dextromethorphan		m				M	risperidone		m!				M!
diazepam		M			M		sertraline		m	M	m	m	m
ecstasy (MDMA)	m	m				M	sildenafil		M				
erlotinib	m	M					simvastatin		M				
erythromycin		M					tamoxifen		M		m		M!
ethanol	m	m			m		terbinafine	M	M		M	m	
fluoxetine		m		M	m	M!	testosterone		M				
fluvoxamine	m					M	theophylline	M					
haloperidol	m	M				M	trazadone		M				m
hydrocodone		M				m!	triazolam		M				
ibuprofen				M	m		trimipramin				m	m	M
imipramine	M	M			m	M	valproic acid				M!		
lidocaine	M	m					venlafaxin		m				M!
methadone	m	M	M		m	m	verapamil	m	M		m		
methyprednisolone		M					warfarin	m	m		M	m	
mirtazapin	m	M				M	zolmitriptan	M					

Major metabolizer = M

Produces active metabolite = !

Minor metabolizer = m

Modified from Samer et al.⁸

metabolism to generate an active metabolite or functional therapeutic agent (see Table 1).

Drug-Drug Interaction, Inducers and Inhibitors

Evidence now indicates that cytochrome P450 enzymes may be altered by the environment in the form of inhibitors or inducers as well as impacted by drug-drug interactions. Known inhibitors or inducers may include common food sources such as grapefruit, broccoli, cauliflower, garlic and coffee (caffeine) that alter enzyme activity by inhibiting or inducing the enzyme response and therefore affect the drug level. If an individual has the rapid form of the cytochrome P450 enzyme, then an inhibitor of that enzyme may lower the enzyme response in breaking down the drug influencing the response for that person and efficacy. Other prescribed drugs with drug-drug interaction can also act as inducers or inhibitors at the time the drug of interest

is consumed and should be considered when prescribing new drugs. The impact of co-medication depends on drug to drug interaction, concentration of the inhibitor or inducer and their half-life with importance of the metabolic pathway. Once the inhibitor or inducer is removed from the environment then the cytochrome P450 enzyme can return to a normal activity if the cytochrome P450 gene is functional or wild-type in nature. Variation in sensitivity and enzyme action can contribute to drug response and side effects, directly relevant to medical management and drug selection, dosage and class. For example, about one in every 15 individuals will show an altered response to doses of beta blockers, a class of medication often prescribed for hypertension and metabolized by the cytochrome P450 enzyme system^{17,30}. If this enzyme system is disturbed due to gene variants then side effects may occur that are harmful to the patient. Other agents affecting drug metabolism

Table 2. Cytochrome P450 CYP1A2 Enzyme Substrates, Inhibitors and Inducers.

Cytochrome P450 Gene	Drugs or Substrates	Inhibitors	Inducers
CYP1A2	Agomelatine, Amitriptyline (Elavil®), Caffeine, Clomipramine (Anafranil®), Clozapine (Clozaril®), Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril®), Erlotinib (Tarceva®), Estradiol, Fluvoxamine (Luvox®), Imipramine (Trofranil®), Haloperidol (Haldol®), Melatonin, Mexiletine (Mexitil®), Naproxen, Olanzapine (Zyprexa®), Ondansetron (Zofran®), Propranolol, Ropivacaine, (Naropin®), Tacrine (Cognex®), Theophylline (Theolair®), Verapamil (Covera-HS®), Warfarin (Coumadin®), Zolmitriptan (Zomig®)	Amiodarone (Cordarone®), Cimetidine (Tagamet®), Ciprofloxacin (Cipro®), Cumin, Fluroquinolones, Fluvoxamine (Luvox®), Interferon, Methoxsalen (Uvadex®), Milbefradil	Beta-Naphthoflavone, Broccoli, Brussel sprouts, Cabbage Cauliflower Insulin, Methylcholanthrene, Modafinil (Provigil®), Nafcillin, Omeprazole (Prilosec®), Tobacco

include smoking, glucocorticoids, progesterone, St. John’s wort and cough medicine⁸ (see Table 2).

Pharmacogenetics-based Drug Dosing Guidelines in Psychiatry

Personalized medicine requires development of resources for clinicians and pharmacogenetic dosing guidelines for medications as 25-50% of individuals do not respond normally to drug treatment and dosage³¹. There are multiple guidelines in various stages of development during the past 5 years including those by the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC), a registered service mark of the US Department of Health and Human Services and other authoritative scientific consortia [(e.g., Dutch Pharmacogenetic Working Group (DPWG)) for cytochrome P450 and drug therapy including for psychiatry^{32,33}. Other published reviews cite evidence and resources to implement pharmacogenetics testing as 90% of patients who undergo this testing are expected to have a clinically actionable result^{34,35}. These reviews on cytochrome P450 and drug therapy should be examined by clinicians when prescribing drugs. Also, integration of pharmacogenetics data and gene variants from patients into electronic health records and access for clinical decision support will become more important, particularly in psychiatry where patients are often treated with multiple medications³⁶.

Guidelines in psychiatry related to pharmacogenetics are growing with over 40 references found in the medical literature when searching PubMed (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) for CPIC guidelines and 8 found when adding psychiatry as a keyword. The psychiatric areas covered include treating depression, mental illness, use of tricyclic antidepressants and dosing SSRIs with specific cytochrome P450 genotypes. Incorporating pharmacogenomics into clinical decision support systems (CDSS) and translating

knowledge into practice is challenging. These systems will help the clinician by transferring pharmacogenomics data to other health care providers and by informing physicians of patient’s results enabling them to request consultations based on the patient’s genotype³⁷.

The best-known function of the cytochrome P450 enzymes is metabolism of drugs followed by the breakdown of toxic compounds including metabolic byproducts such as bilirubin which can lead to harmful results if not deactivated at a normal rate². Natural variation in the activity of these enzymes can contribute to a range of drug responses and side effects on an individual basis. As these enzymes are encoded by genes with the wild-type allele occurring in most individuals, a normal or extensive metabolizer receives or inherits two copies of the normal wild-type allele from each parent and thus respond normally to drugs at standard doses. The doses initially established for a drug in the general population would depend on the specific cytochrome P450 genetic pattern. If an individual carries a loss-of-function allele along with the normal or wild-type allele (i.e., heterozygous state), then the enzyme coded by that gene would show reduced activity. If an individual carries two loss-of-function allele variants (i.e., homozygous or heterozygous state) such as two alleles showing deletion (i.e., *CYP2D6* *5) or non-functional pattern (i.e., *CYP2D6* *4), then a non-functional enzyme or an enzyme with reduced function would be labelled as a poor metabolizer for specific drugs degraded by that enzyme. If the individual carries duplications/multiplications of functional wild-type alleles, then the activity would be significantly increased and labeled as a rapid or ultra-rapid metabolizer. For example, homozygous and heterozygous carriers of *CYP2C9* gene variant alleles with reduced or no enzyme activity such as deletions would then require lower phenytoin doses to maintain therapeutic levels and to avoid an increased risk of toxicity

with high drug doses for that patient prescribed for this anti-seizure drug^{2,17}. Also, poor *CYP2C9* metabolizers with involvement of several anti-depressants lead to increased risk of adverse effects and lower doses are recommended for both fluoxetine and sertraline⁸. Individuals who inherit several copies (duplications) of the wild-type allele are labeled as rapid or ultra-rapid metabolizers and degrade specific drugs more quickly targeted by a particular enzyme and less effective in treating the illness. Increased toxicity is noted to occur in poor metabolizers with several psychotropics such as desipramine, haloperidol, amitriptyline and venlafaxine with *CYP2D6* variants influencing analgesic response to opioids such as codeine⁸. Physicians are increasingly prescribing the use of opioids to treat chronic pain and one of the most common reasons for patients seeking medical attention. Opioids and other analgesics are widely used in the clinic setting but can be problematic and potentially lethal. Twin studies have shown that opioid addiction has an inherited component of approximately 50% supported by genome-wide association study (GWAS) reports with gene variants having additive small effects including potassium ion channels (*KCNC1* and *KCNG2*), a glutamate receptor related protein (*CNIH3*) and mu opioid receptor (*OPRM1*)³⁸. Medications such as methadone to combat opioid addictions are regulated by cytochrome P450 genotypes (e.g., *CYP2B6*) and can also impact treatment response to addiction. Large clinical trials comparing effective pharmacotherapy for opioid addiction are needed including the role of pharmacogenetics.

Clinical Trials and Gene Variants in Psychiatric Care

Studies of other genes not involving the cytochrome P450 enzymes have been reported to influence treatment of patients with major depression including inflammatory related genes such as IL-6 particularly in response to duloxetine³⁹. Response to lithium treatment and bipolar disorder using GWAS data also linked two genes on chromosome 21 meeting significance criteria for an association with lithium response and warrants further study⁴⁰. *TPH2* gene polymorphisms were also found to be associated with higher reduction rates in depressive symptoms in children treated with fluoxetine⁴¹ indicating genetic variability related to the brain serotonergic system seemingly associated with higher clinical improvement.

Risperidone is the most commonly prescribed antipsychotic medication in the US used to treat schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, severe dementia and irritability⁴². Risperidone is metabolized to an active metabolite by cytochrome P450 enzyme system, particularly *CYP2D6*. Individuals classified as poor metabolizers may have a decreased capacity to metabolize risperidone and at a higher risk for adverse effects and those who are

ultra-rapid metabolizes may have a decreased response to therapy. In addition, side effects of SSRIs found in older adults including sleep and sexual disturbances, dry mouth and diarrhea are associated with polymorphisms of the promoters of the serotonin transporter (*5-HTTLPR*) and *HTR1A* and *HTR2A* receptors influencing the functional status impacting on personalized patient care⁴³.

Conclusions and Commentary

Personalized medicine utilizing genetic fingerprints or molecular signatures to predict individual responses to drugs regarding efficacy, safety and pharmacokinetics is a growing area now entertained in clinical trials, development of drugs and study design with decisions for allocation of resources for clinical investigations including the selection of participants. Genotyping selected groups of individuals at risk for adverse events may allow possible reintroduction of affected drugs that were withdrawn at one time by regulators because of rare but serious adverse events. This rescuing or repurposing of drugs may become possible using next generation sequencing with development of disease specific pharmacogenetics testing in the areas of cardiology, hematology, endocrinology and psychiatry with interpretive services available depending on the testing results that may impact treatment, drug selection and dosage^{5,18,44,45}. The interpretation of genetic patterns is increasingly important for clinicians not familiar with this new technology or maybe apprehensive to use the technology and personalized medicine approaches regarding their medical practice and sometimes driven by patients undergoing direct to consumer testing. This technology may also facilitate development of new drugs for vulnerable populations, rare diseases or to reduce ethnic disparities to improve public health.

Pharmacogenetics testing used to optimize treatment may be particularly important in mental health where 20% of the 121 pharmacogenetics markers are recognized by the FDA as informative for clinical practice involving psychiatric drugs⁴⁶. This practice may positively impact financial and personal costs due to adverse drug events and save time in the course of selecting the most appropriate drug (e.g., psychotropic) and dosage for patients (e.g., in the field of child psychiatry). Reduced healthcare costs may occur by decreasing the number of adverse drug reactions, emergency visits and medications prescribed in order to yield effective therapies. Using this approach to identify whether an individual has a normal (extensive), rapid, or poor metabolizer status before a specific drug is prescribed should allow the practitioner to be more selective with a greater assurance of success based on an individual's genetic pattern and therefore be more proactive. Because of the importance of inducers and inhibitors playing a role in medication management regarding the specific cytochrome P450 gene status either with other prescribed, over the

counter drugs or dietary sources and their interaction, a careful history is required. For example, omega-3 fatty acid (eicosapentaenoic acid - EPA), a dietary supplement, acts as an inhibitor for *CYP2D6*⁴⁷. Better awareness should be beneficial for both the patient and clinician but more investigations and clinical trials are needed to support this assumption in psychiatric care and treatment. The cost of genetic testing has also decreased through advances in technology and competition in spite of a growing number of analytes available with a faster turn-around time. The ease of testing with specimen collection (e.g., saliva instead of blood) and increasing recognition of medical necessity by third party payers will allow the process to move forward more quickly.

The growing list of personalized testing measures besides cytochrome P450 enzyme genes often includes other genes that play a role in the field of psychiatry such as neurotransmitter receptors (e.g., *5HT2C*, *DRD2*), transporters (e.g., *SLC6A4*), metabolic enzymes (*COMT*, *MTHFR*) and ion channel functions (e.g., *CACNA1C*, *ANKK3*); all playing a role in pharmacodynamic aspects of psychiatric medications^{18,44,48-58}. In addition, there is an association with anti-psychotic drug induced weight gain with a *5HT2C* receptor gene polymorphism⁵⁹. Twelve SNPs representing eight other genes (*ADRAZA*, *ADRB3*, *BDNF*, *DRD2*, *GNB3*, *INSIG2*, *MC4R* and *SNAP25*) are also significantly associated with anti-psychotic-related weight gain such as risperidone⁶⁰. An example on how personalized medical approaches can be used in the clinical setting was reported by Smith et al.¹⁸ and illustrated in a clinical case of an adolescent male requiring psychiatric services where recognition of pharmacogenetics testing results identified the potential source of his lack of tolerance for several medications.

Many genes are involved in mental illness with a rapidly expanding list of known clinically relevant or susceptible genes. For example, 560 genes are thought to play a role in schizophrenia;⁶¹ 390 genes for bipolar disorder⁶² and over 800 genes for autism spectrum disorder (ASD)⁶³. Gene analytics and profiling programs have also shown that certain psychiatric or mental illnesses do overlap with disturbed gene networks such as circadian rhythm in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and ASD along with calcium channel deficiencies⁴⁵. In summary, it will be important to identify and characterize gene variants (existing and new) involved in mental illness and treatment response related to pharmacogenetics with the potential to lead to a better quality of life for those affected individuals. As an emerging field in medical care, pharmacogenetics testing and availability of coverage by insurance companies, availability of genetic services and local consultation expertise may vary from region to region nationwide. However, patients without an anticipated response to medications and those on multiple medications become candidates for

pharmacogenetics testing. In addition, psychiatrists and psychologists can use their unique knowledge-base and experience with mental illness and aberrant behavior in the context of pharmacogenetics to help patients and clinicians make better decisions and choices regarding treatment plans and selection of medication as well as to educate other members of the healthcare provider team.

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) HD02528 grant. I thank Charlotte Weber for expert preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Reynolds KS. Achieving the promise of personalized medicine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2012; 92(4): 401-405.
2. Lee JW, Aminkeng F, Bhavsar AP, et al. The emerging era of pharmacogenomics: Current successes, future potential, and challenges. *Clin Genet.* 2014; 86(1): 21-28.
3. Kalow W. Human pharmacogenomics: The development of a science. *Hum Genomics.* 2004; 1(5): 375-380.
4. Weinshilboum RM, Wang L. Pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics: Development, science, and translation. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet.* 2006; 7: 223-245.
5. Weng L, Zhang L, Peng Y, et al. Pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics: A bridge to individualized cancer therapy. *Pharmacogenomics.* 2013; 14(3): 315-324.
6. Kirchheiner J, Seeringer A. Clinical implications of pharmacogenetics of cytochrome P450 drug metabolizing enzymes. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2007; 1770(3): 489-494.
7. Werck-Reichhart D, Feyereisen R. Cytochromes P450: A success story. *Genome Biol.* 2000; 1(6): 3003.
8. Samer CF, Lorenzini KI, Rollason V, et al. Applications of CYP450 testing in the clinical setting. *Mol Diagn Ther.* 2013; 17(3): 165-184.
9. Danielson PB. The cytochrome P450 superfamily: Biochemistry, evolution and drug metabolism in humans. *Curr Drug Metab.* 2002; 3(6): 561-597.
10. Burt T, Dhillon S. Pharmacogenomics in early-phase clinical development. *Pharmacogenomics.* 2013; 14(9): 1085-1097.
11. Crews KR, Hicks JK, Pui CH, et al. Pharmacogenomics and individualized medicine: translating science into practice. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2012; 92(4): 467-475.
12. Evans WE, Relling MV. Pharmacogenomics: Translating functional genomics into rational therapeutics. *Science.* 1999; 286(5439): 487-491.
13. Collins FS. Reengineering translational science: The time is right. *Sci Transl Med.* 2011; 3(90): 90cm17.
14. US FDA. Innovation or Stagnation: Challenge and Opportunity on the Critical Path to New Medical Products. US Department of Health and Human Services, US FDA. 2004.
15. Motulsky AG. Drug reactions enzymes, and biochemical genetics. *J Am Med Assoc.* 1957; 165(7): 835-837.
16. Motulsky A. From pharmacogenetics and ecogenetics to pharmacogenomics. *Med Secoli.* 2002; 14(3): 683-705.
17. Lynch T, Price A. The effect of cytochrome P450 metabolism on drug response, interactions, and adverse effects. *Am Fam Physician.* 2007; 76(3): 391-396.

18. Smith T, Sharp S, Manzardo AM, et al. Pharmacogenetics informed decision making in adolescent psychiatric treatment: A clinical case report. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2015; 16(3): 4416-4428.
19. Seeringer A, Kirchheiner J. Pharmacogenetics-guided dose modifications of antidepressants. *Clin Lab Med.* 2008; 28(4): 619-626.
20. Zhou SF. Polymorphism of human cytochrome P450 2D6 and its clinical significance: Part I. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2009; 48(11): 689-723.
21. Zhou SF. Polymorphism of human cytochrome P450 2D6 and its clinical significance: Part II. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2009; 48(12): 761-804.
22. Gaedigk A. Complexities of CYP2D6 gene analysis and interpretation. *Int Rev Psychiatry.* 2013; 25(5): 534-553.
23. Hicks JK, Swen JJ, Thorn CF, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of tricyclic antidepressants. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2013; 93(5): 402-408.
24. Johnson JA. Pharmacogenetics in clinical practice: How far have we come and where are we going? *Pharmacogenomics.* 2013; 14(7): 835-843.
25. Crews KR, Gaedigk A, Dunnenberger HM, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for cytochrome P450 2D6 genotype and codeine therapy: 2014 update. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2014; 95(4): 376-382.
26. Ingelman-Sundberg M. Genetic polymorphisms of cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6): Clinical consequences, evolutionary aspects and functional diversity. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2005; 5(1): 6-13.
27. Grasmäder K, Verwohlt PL, Rietschel M, et al. Impact of polymorphisms of cytochrome-P450 isoenzymes 2C9, 2C19 and 2D6 on plasma concentrations and clinical effects of antidepressants in a naturalistic clinical setting. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2004; 60(5): 329-336.
28. Haertter S. Recent examples on the clinical relevance of the CYP2D6 polymorphism and endogenous functionality of CYP2D6. *Drug Metabol Drug Interact.* 2013; 28(4): 209-216.
29. Gough AC, Miles JS, Spurr NK, et al. Identification of the primary gene defect at the cytochrome P450 CYP2D locus. *Nature.* 1990; 347(6295): 773-776.
30. Yang X, Zhang B, Molony C, et al. Systematic genetic and genomic analysis of cytochrome P450 enzyme activities in human liver. *Genome Res.* 2010; 20(8): 1020-1036.
31. Spear BB, Heath-Chiozzi M, Huff J. Clinical application of pharmacogenetics. *Trends Mol Med.* 2001 May; 7(5): 201-204.
32. Hicks JK, Sangkuhl K, Swen JJ, et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium guideline (CPIC) for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of tricyclic antidepressants: 2016 update. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2016; doi: 10.1002/cpt.597. [Epub ahead of print]
33. Swen JJ, Nijenhuis M, van Rhenen M, et al. Dutch Pharmacogenetics Working Group (DPWG) of the Royal Dutch Pharmacists Association (KNMP). Pharmacogenetic Information in Clinical Guidelines: The European Perspective. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2018; doi: 10.1002/cpt.1049. [Epub ahead of print]
34. Van Driest SL, Shi Y, Bowton EA, et al. Clinically actionable genotypes among 10,000 patients with preemptive pharmacogenomic testing. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2014; 95(4): 423-431.
35. Caudle KE, Gammal RS, Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. Evidence and resources to implement pharmacogenetic knowledge for precision medicine. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2016; 73(23): 1977-1985.
36. Hicks JK, Dunnenberger HM, Gumpfer KF, et al. Integrating pharmacogenomics into electronic health records with clinical decision support. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2016; 73(23): 1967-1976.
37. Hinderer M, Boeker M, Wagner SA, et al. Integrating clinical decision support systems for pharmacogenomic testing into clinical routine - a scoping review of designs of user-system interactions in recent system development. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2017; 17(1): 81.
38. Berrettini W. A brief review of the genetics and pharmacogenetics of opioid use disorders. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* 2017; 19(3): 229-236.
39. Maciukiewicz M, Marshe VS, Tiwari AK, et al. Genetic variation in IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-6, TSPO and BDNF and response to duloxetine or placebo treatment in major depressive disorder. *Pharmacogenomics.* 2015; 16(17): 1919-29.
40. Hou L, Heilbronner U, Degenhardt F, et al. Genetic variants associated with response to lithium treatment in bipolar disorder: a genome-wide association study. *Lancet.* 2016; 387(10023): 1085-1093.
41. Gassó P, Rodríguez N, Boloc D, et al. Association of regulatory TPH2 polymorphisms with higher reduction in depressive symptoms in children and adolescents treated with fluoxetine. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* 2017; 77: 236-240.
42. Dean L. Risperidone Therapy and CYP2D6 Genotype. In: Pratt V, McLeod H, Dean L, Malheiro A, Rubinstein W, editors. *SourceMedical Genetics Summaries* [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Center for Biotechnology Information (US); 2012-2017.
43. Garfield LD, Dixon D, Nowotny P, et al. Common selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor side effects in older adults associated with genetic polymorphisms in the serotonin transporter and receptors: data from a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2014; 22(10): 971-979.
44. Wu YL, Ding XX, Sun YH, et al. Association between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and depression: An updated meta-analysis of 26 studies. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* 2013; 46: 78-85.
45. Wu AH. Drug metabolizing enzyme activities versus genetic variances for drug of clinical pharmacogenomic relevance. *Clin Proteomics.* 2011; 8(1): 12.
46. Hamilton SP. The promise of psychiatric pharmacogenomics. *Bill Psychiatry.* 2015; 77(1): 29-35.
47. Yao HT, Chang YW, Lan SJ, et al. The inhibitory effect of polyunsaturated fatty acids on human CYP enzymes. *Life Sci.* 2006; 79(26): 2432-2440.
48. Frosst P, Blom HJ, Milos R, et al. A candidate genetic risk factor for vascular disease: a common mutation in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase. *Nat Genet.* 1995; 10(1): 111-113.
49. Lachman HM, Papolos DF, Saito T, et al. Human catechol-O-methyltransferase pharmacogenetics: Description of a functional polymorphism and its potential application to neuropsychiatric disorders. *Pharmacogenetics.* 1996; 6(3): 243-250.
50. Serretti A, Kato M, De Ronchi D, et al. Meta-analysis of serotonin transporter gene promoter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) association with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor efficacy in depressed patients. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2007; 12(3): 247-257.
51. Alex KD, Yavanian GJ, McFarlane HG, et al. Modulation of dopamine release by striatal 5-HT_{2C} receptors. *Synapse.* 2005; 55(4): 242-251.
52. Gilbody S, Lewis S, Lightfoot T. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) genetic polymorphisms and psychiatric disorders: A HuGE review. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2007; 165(1): 1-13.
53. Reynolds GP. Pharmacogenetic aspects of antipsychotic drug-induced weight gain - A critical review. *Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci.* 2012; 10(2): 71-77.

-
54. Papakostas GI, Shelton RC, Zajecka JM, et al. L-methylfolate as adjunctive therapy for SSRI-resistant major depression: Results of two randomized, double-blind, parallel-sequential trials. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2012; 169(12): 1267-1274.
 55. Sim SC, Kacevska M, Ingelman-Sundberg M. Pharmacogenomics of drug-metabolizing enzymes: A recent update on clinical implications and endogenous effects. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2013; 13(1): 1-11.
 56. Altar CA, Hornberger J, Shewade A, et al. Clinical validity of cytochrome P450 metabolism and serotonin gene variants in psychiatric pharmacotherapy. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2013; 25(5): 509-533.
 57. Durham D. Utilizing pharmacogenetics in psychiatry: The time has come. *Mol Diagn Ther*. 2014; 18(2): 117-119.
 58. Kabir ZD, Martfnez-Rivera A, Rajadhyaksha AM. From gene to behavior: L-type calcium channel mechanisms underlying neuropsychiatric symptoms. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2017; 14(3): 588-613.
 59. Reynolds GP, Zhang Z, Zhang XB. Association of antipsychotic drug-induced weight gain with a 5-HT_{2C} receptor gene polymorphism. *Lancet*. 2002; 359(9323): 2086-2087.
 60. Song X, Pang L, Feng Y, et al. Fat-mass and obesity-associated gene polymorphisms and weight gain after risperidone treatment in first episode schizophrenia. *Behav Brain Funct*. 2014; 10(1): 35.
 61. Sundararajan T, Manzardo AM, Butler MG. Functional analysis of schizophrenia genes using GeneAnalytics program and integrated databases. *Gene*. 2018; 641: 25-34.
 62. Douglas LN, McGuire AB, Manzardo AM, et al. High-resolution chromosome ideogram representation of recognized genes for bipolar disorder. *Gene*. 2016; 586(1): 136-147.
 63. Butler MG, Rafi SK, Manzardo AM. High-resolution chromosome ideogram representation of currently recognized genes for autism spectrum disorders. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2015; 16(3): 6464-6495.